UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

] {	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
_	10/518,214	12/16/2004	Reddy Bandi Parthasaradhi	H1089/20024	3550
	3000 7590 09/12/2007 CAESAR, RIVISE, BERNSTEIN,			EXAM	MINER
	COHEN & POI	KOTILOW, LTD.	EĐ	SACKEY, EBENEZER O	
	11TH FLOOR, SEVEN PENN CENTER 1635 MARKET STREET		ER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2212	IA, PA 19103-2212		1624	
				NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				09/12/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@crbcp.com caesarrivise@yahoo.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/518,214	PARTHASARADHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	EBENEZER SACKEY	1624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
,	action is non-final.	accution as to the movite in			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	in parte quayie, 1999 G.B. 11, 40	0.0.210.			
 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or example. 	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \(\sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/518,214

Art Unit: 1624

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-3 and 18 are, drawn to a crystalline Form III of aripiprazole and process for making it.

Group II, claim(s) 4-5, 8 and 10 are, drawn to a crystalline aripiprazole methanolate and process of preparing it.

Group III, claim(s) 6-7 and 9 are, drawn to a crystalline Form A of aripiprazole and process of preparing it.

Group IV, claim(s) 11-12 and 15 are, drawn to a crystalline Form B of aripiprazole and process of preparing it.

Group V, claim(s) 13-17 are, drawn to a crystalline Form C of aripiprazole and process of preparing it.

The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the claims herein lack unity of invention under PCT Rule 13.1 and 13.2 since the crystalline compounds defined in the claims lack a significant structural element qualifying as the special technical feature that defined a contribution over the prior art. The x-ray defractogrames of claim 1 clearly has different special technical features than that of claim 6 or claim 13 and none of the defractogrames define a contribution over the prior art. Thus, the defractogrames of claim 1 or claim 6 or claim 13 vary extensively and when taken as a whole result in vastly different crystalline compounds. Accordingly, unity of invention is considered to be lacking and a restriction of the invention in accordance with the rules of unity of invention is considered to be proper. Additionally, the vastness of the claimed subject matter, and the complications in understanding the claimed subject matter imposes a burden on any examination of the claimed subject matter.

A telephone call was made to Robert S. Silver on 08/28/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,214

Art Unit: 1624

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to E. Sackey whose telephone number is (571) 272-0704.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Application/Control Number: 10/518,214

Art Unit: 1624

supervisor, James O. Wilson, can be reached on (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

(571) 272-1600.

EOS September 3, 2007 KAMALA. SAEED, PH.D.

lames O. Wilson

Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1624, Group 1600 Technology Center 1